

## PRODUCERS

Business

**Jobs**

Economy

Domestic \$

Companies that lobby

It's only us...trust us

Who's buying?

Other Industries

On the record?

Stigmatization

Cost associated with stigmatization

Illegal transfers

Logic—why produce if not using?

Cost of production—shift to other means

Paying for aging stockpile

Diversification

## EXPORTERS

**Income**

Contracts

**"Not gun...man"**

Trust us.

Illegal trade

ATT

**CCM—diminishing market (who's buying?)**

(States Parties)

**Difficulty of enforcing control of use**

Risk of transport and stockpiling

## STOCKPILERS

Won't use them

Training

**Expensive to replace**

**Expensive to destroy**

**Lack of alternatives**

**Neighbor stockpiles**

Rainy day

Don't know why—no plan

Why stockpile if not using?

**Cost to maintain**

**Danger**

**Aging stock—need to destroy at some point; cheaper if you do it now**

**Get on board: access to I.C. (\$/tech)**

Attention/benefits/good PR to do it now

Not new obligations

AFFECTED COUNTRIES

**Cost of clearance**

**Deadlines (time)**

Capacity to report

Capacity to implement

Other disabled population

**Priorities**

Victims demand rights (legal entitlements)

Governance

Problems with system in general

VA provisions

Might need to revise laws

**Access to I.C. (\$/tech)**

Leverage

Now! Political will & attention

**Extensions**

Signed MBT—why leave out CCM?

Access to Higher Funding levels if clear now (have to clear at some point anyway)

NON-AFFECTED

Economy

**Who cares?**

**Priorities**

Fear of reporting

Think there are costs involved

**Governance; lack of capacity**

National legislation

No perceived benefits

**Relationships with stockpilers/neighbors**

Fear of other conventions

Colonial power/alliances

Increased credibility  
Nationals who are peacekeepers  
Strengthen  
**Attention**  
**Solidarity**  
Cooperation on deterring other armed violence issues  
International norm  
Reciprocity  
**Get on the media train (EIF/1MSP)**  
Responsibility of states

No cost  
Why not?  
All gain, no loss  
(In the [BCY?])  
Political Will

## MILITARY

CCW argument  
**Defense (actual conflict)**  
Offensive weapon  
Regional/neighbors  
**Perceived threat**  
**Borders/boundaries**  
**Afraid of future conflict**  
**No alternatives**  
Distrust  
Protect power  
Military budget/strategy doctrine  
Military>Politics  
**Military's perceived threat of being controlled by civil society**  
**Slippery slope**  
Interoperability

Strategic location  
Other countries have conflict  
Deference (contra-threat)  
See as legitimate weapon  
See as good, effective, or necessary weapon  
Business of war  
Regional conflict  
Balance of power  
Corruption  
You already took our landmines mentality

Civilians

**Humanitarian costs**

**Not appropriate in today's conflicts**

**Risk to your own soldiers**

**Stigmatization**

Contamination of own soil

IHL violations

High costs in terms of political/repetition

Other countries with same problems have done this

No political wins

Not appropriate in urban warfare

Change your doctrine!

Benefits to joining

(Get new toys)

It's about this weapon (slippery slope counter)

Soldiers don't want them

Negative image